	Case 2:23-cv-02749-TLN-SCR Docume	ent 13 Filed 12/13/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GEORGE SCOTT,	No. 2:23-cv-2749-TLN-SCR P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	E. BROWN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	By order filed October 4, 2024, the court found plaintiff's complaint did not state any	
19	claim for relief and granted sixty days leave to file an amended complaint. The time granted for	
20	that purpose has expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise	
21	responded to the court's order.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
23	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 21 days after	
26	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with	
27	the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to	
28	Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file	
		-

objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 12, 2024 SEAN C. RIORDAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE